

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,380	03/29/2004	Iftikhar Khan	1800-00001	2606	
27572 7590 08/02/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828	·	•	DEAK, LESLIE R		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3761	.,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief				

Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
10/812,380	KHAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	H
Leslie R. Deak	3761	

	Leslie R. Deak	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (box 1).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		THE FINOT NEFET WAS I	ILLD WITTING
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amore hortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a br	ief will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			Codusc
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. D The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separa	te, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affi	davit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
TATYANA ZALUK	AEVA .	- 1	~7_
SUPERVISORY PRIMAR	,	A 31 July.	0+
CANOLA CANOLA	0	,	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to the independent claims set forth new limitations that materially affect the scope of the claimed invention, requiring new search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based on the claims as amended, and the amendment has not been entered.